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Why are we here?

• We have technical capabilities that we believe could be of value to the aviation industry
  – To help address the problem of passenger identification and threat assessment
  – To provide processing scalable to a nationwide system
  – To identify operational problems

• We would like to explore where we could make a useful contribution by working with the various aviation industry stake-holders including the
  – FAA
  – Air carriers
  – Airports
  – Transportation Security Administration
  – Vendors
  – Research community
Core Technologies: Vulnerability and Risk Assessment

- Aviation Safety Reporting System (ASRS)
  - Receives, processes, and analyses first-hand reports that describe unsafe occurrences and hazardous situations in the aviation system.
  - Uses formal data analysis methods to identify system risks and vulnerabilities.
  - See http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/
Core Technology: Information Extraction

- **Data Mining and Analysis**
  - Statistical machine learning and unsupervised learning (one of the top research labs in the country in this area)
    - Very close ties to broad academic and industrial research community.
  - Agent-based mining on a distributed computational grid
  - Link analysis, association rules and anomaly detection
Core Technology: Distributed heterogeneous infrastructure

- Developed technology to access and organize data from multiple outside sources
  - Maintain dynamic, distributed, situational models that are continuously updated and examined for trends, relationships, and/or anomalies

- Developing and fielding Information Power Grid (IPG) which is a seamless, scalable infrastructure integrating:
  - Distributed collections of both:
    - High-end processors
    - Medium and low scale processors
  - Distributed sensors and data sources
  - Resources that might cross administrative boundaries
  - Uses what has become the de facto standard grid software
Core Technology: Neuro-electric sensing

- Time based pattern recognition
  - Used for analysis of EEG and ECG signals
  - Already in development for brain-machine interface work.
- Non-invasive neuro-electric sensors
  - Under development as collaborative venture between NASA Ames and commercial partner
Core Technology: Human Factors

- Twenty-five year record of providing the aviation industry with scientific principles and practical solutions for improving safety and effectiveness of crew training and line operations
  - Fatigue research influenced changes in duty-time regulations, led to widely-attended fatigue counter-measure workshops
    - See http://human-factors.arc.nasa.gov/zteam/
  - Research on role of team effectiveness in flight safety resulted in new regulations, industry-wide implementation of Crew Resource Management (CRM)
    - CRM is a system-wide concept that emphasizes effective teamwork and decision making
  - Flight training research resulted in new regulations, industry-wide implementation of Line-Oriented Flight Training (LOFT)
    - LOFT is simulation-based training that relies on realistic extended scenarios
What we have been thinking for Vulnerability and Risk Assessment

- Develop Aviation Security Reporting System (ASecRS)
  - Based on successful Aviation Safety Reporting System (ASRS)
  - Solicit, processes, and analyze reports that describe insecure situations
  - Use formal data analysis methods to identify system risks and vulnerabilities
  - Communicates effectively back to aviation industry
What we have been thinking for NASA Applications
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What we have been thinking for Scalable Threat Assessment
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Requirements

- Effective Passenger Screening System -

• Must detect people who are known threats
• Must detect people who may pose a threat but are unknown
• Must ensure that people cannot bypass screening by
  – Using false name and/or forged credentials
  – Having substitute passenger board the aircraft in place of a screened passenger
• Must have acceptable
  – Pd (probability of correct detection)
  – Pfa (probability of false alarm)
  – Tp (throughput)
Requirements

- Effective Passenger Screening System -

- Will probably want multilevel approach, with different types of sensors with different Pd, Pfa and Tp characteristics
- Must address privacy and “big brother” issues to the extent possible
- Must recognize that due to sensitive data, some agencies may not be willing to release information on known threats
  - But, they may be willing to do red-light/green-light processing on passenger biometric, UID or name
What we have been thinking for innovative ways of detecting unknown threats

- Use non-invasive neuro-electric sensors to detect passengers who potentially might pose a threat
- Passenger threat assessment using machine learning, data mining, and expert systems, applied to multiple databases containing information about passengers and suspected terrorists (possibly as an extension of CAPPS)
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Information access and integration

Key challenges:
- Iterative query process with link analysis
- Matching information obtained to passenger
- Fusing information from separate sources
- Maintaining and updating access and wrapper information for 100’s to 1000’s of data sources.
- Integrate information access with threat assessment.
Data Mining for Threat Assessment

**Problem:** Assess threat posed by an individual using statistical analysis of information obtained from multiple sources including non-invasive biometric sensing.

**Traditional Approach:** (e.g. algorithms used to assess credit worthiness of an individual)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personal Info</th>
<th>Threat rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Person 1, x₁, x₂, ..., xₙ)</td>
<td>&lt;.76, 2 std&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Person 2, x₁, x₂, ..., xₙ)</td>
<td>&lt;1, 2 std&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Person m, x₁, x₂, ..., xₙ)</td>
<td>&lt;2, 2 std&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistical analysis to detect correlations**

**Training Set**

(Passenger 1, x₁, x₂, ..., xₙ) → Threat rating with uncertainty bar

**Unique challenges for threat assessment:**
- Data for many passengers is very sparse
- Training data is highly skewed (limited examples of threats)
- Need to couple the active acquisition of data into the threat assessment loop based upon the uncertainty of the assessment.
- Need to combine assessment from multiple algorithms and approaches into a single assessment.

**Result:** Approach is good for eliminating non-threats, but not for uniquely identifying threats.
Where would we like to go from here?

• We would like to work with others
• We would like to build a passenger screening testbed that would include
  – Biometrics – facial and other
  – Smart card with biometrics
  – Analysis, mining and threat assessment software
  – Addressing issue of scalability for national-scale system using IPG technology
• We would like to launch a pilot test of the Aviation Security Reporting System
Closing thoughts from the Third Aviation Security Technology Symposium

- "Activity is no substitute for progress." [Richard Doney, from the UK Department of Transportation]
- "Don't depend too much on technology." [Joel Feldshul, former Israeli General (Chief of Intelligence), former chairman and CEO of El Al Airlines and now chairman and CEO of an Israeli Security Consulting firm.]
- "We need to ensure that we are not generals planning for the last war." [Nick Cartwright from Transport Canada]