September 28, 2017

The Honorable Roy Blunt, Chairman
The Honorable Maria Cantwell, Ranking Member
U.S. Senate Committee on Commerce, Science & Transportation
Subcommittee on Aviation Operations, Safety, and Security
512 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

RE: Hearing on “TSA Modernization: Improvements to Aviation Security”

Dear Chairman Blunt and Ranking Member Cantwell:

We write to you regarding today’s hearing on “TSA Modernization: Improvements to Aviation Security.” We welcome your continued leadership on improvements that can be made at the TSA and look forward to opportunities to work with you and your staff.

EPIC is a public interest research center established in 1994 to focus public attention on emerging privacy and civil liberties issues. Among our most significant undertakings was the litigation that led to the removal the backscatter x-ray devices from U.S. airports. Those devices were ineffective, invasive, and unlawful. In EPIC v. DHS, 653 F.3d 1 (D.C. Cir. 2011), the DC Circuit Court of Appeals held that the agency failed to conduct a public rulemaking as required by law and must also ensure that passengers are given the opportunity to opt-out if they so choose.

We are currently trying to determine why US travelers, returning to the United States, are now subject to eye scanning and other forms of biometric collection at US airports. President Trump’s Executive Order, “Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States,” explicitly calls to “expedite the completion and implementation of biometric entry exit tracking system.”

Facial recognition poses significant threats to privacy and civil liberties. It can be done covertly, remotely, and on a mass scale. Additionally, there are a lack of well-defined federal regulations controlling the collection, use, dissemination, and retention of biometric identifiers. Ubiquitous and near effortless identification eliminates individual’s ability to control their identities and poses a specific risk to the First Amendment rights of free association and free expression.

2 See About EPIC, EPIC.org, https://epic.org/epic/about.html.
The use of facial recognition at the border has real consequences for U.S. citizens as well as non-U.S. citizens. All people entering the U.S., including U.S. passport holders, could be subject to this new screening technique.

EPIC has filed a Freedom of Information Act lawsuit to obtain documents to determine if there are proper privacy safeguards in place for the collection of biometric information at US airports. EPIC has previously obtained documents from the Federal Bureau of Investigation concerning their Next Generation Identification database which contains facial scans, fingerprints, and other biometrics of millions of Americans. As a result of obtaining these documents it was revealed that biometric identification is often inaccurate.

EPIC has also opposed the planned implementation of the REAL ID Act. Recently, the TSA has indicated that they will no longer accept non-REAL ID compliant drivers licenses and identification cards to board airplanes. Several states continue to oppose the implementation of the REAL ID Act which remains and unfunded, government mandate. The TSA policy will make it practically impossible for residents from these states to board planes. The TSA has failed to show why such a drastic change in airport protocol is necessary.

We ask that this letter be entered in the hearing record. EPIC looks forward to working with the Committee on these issues of vital importance to the American public.

Sincerely,

/s/ Marc Rotenberg       /s/ Caitriona Fitzgerald
Marc Rotenberg          Caitriona Fitzgerald
EPIC President         EPIC Policy Director

/s/ Kim Miller
Kim Miller
EPIC Policy Fellow

---
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